Problem 1: Quantum Key Exchange

Alice and Bob perform the following quantum key distribution protocol:

- Alice chooses random bits $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \{0, 1\}$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, Alice prepares $|\Psi_i\rangle := |\Psi_{a_i b_i}\rangle$ according to the following table:

| $|\Psi_{00}\rangle$ | $|0\rangle$ |
|------------------|---------|
| $|\Psi_{10}\rangle$ | $|1\rangle$ |
| $|\Psi_{01}\rangle$ | $|+\rangle$ |
| $|\Psi_{11}\rangle$ | $|-\rangle$ |

(In other words, $b_i$ specifies the basis in which $a_i$ is encoded.)

- Then Alice sends $|\Psi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle$ to Bob (over an insecure quantum channel that is under the control of the adversary Eve).

- When Bob has received all the $n$ qubits, he acknowledges receipt over an authenticated (but public, i.e., not secret) channel.

- After getting the acknowledgement from Bob, Alice sends all bits $b_i$ to Bob, and for checking, she also sends $a_i$ to Bob for $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$ (we assume $n$ to be even).

- Then Bob measures each of the qubits he received in the basis given by the $b_i$. Let the outcomes be $\tilde{a}_i$.

- Bob checks whether $a_i = \tilde{a}_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}$. If so, he sends OK to Alice over the authenticated channel and outputs the key $\tilde{a}_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \ldots \tilde{a}_n$, otherwise he sends ABORT and aborts.

- When Alice receives OK, she outputs the key $a_{\frac{n}{2}+1} \ldots a_n$. If she receives ABORT, she aborts.

(a) Break the protocol.

(b) Argue how the protocol security could be improved. (But do not try to prove it!)
Problem 2: Eve’s advantage

Assume that in a (bad) QKD protocol, some adversary Eve succeeds in doing the following: The protocol aborts with probability \( \frac{2}{3} \). In the cases where the protocol does not abort, the key that is chosen is always 0 \( \ldots \) 0 (\( n \) bits, \( n > 2 \)). For simplicity, assume that Eve’s state is empty after the protocol execution (that is, Eve’s quantum state consists of zero qubits, and density operators \( \rho_E \) describing Eve’s state can be omitted from all formulas).

(a) Describe the state \( \rho_{\text{Real}}^{\text{ABE}} \). What is the value of
\[
\text{TD}(\rho_{\text{Real}}^{\text{ABE}}, S_{\text{Ideal}}) := \max_{\rho_{\text{Ideal}}^{\text{ABE}} \in S_{\text{Ideal}}} \text{TD}(\rho_{\text{Real}}^{\text{ABE}}, \rho_{\text{Ideal}}^{\text{ABE}})
\]
(for the particular Eve described above)?

(b) Show that the protocol is not \( \varepsilon \)-secure for \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{4} \).

Problem 3: Doing the Impossible

Let \( |\beta_{ab}\rangle \) for \( a, b \in \{0, 1\} \) be the Bell states, and let
\[
P_{bf} := |\beta_{00}\rangle\langle \beta_{00}| + |\beta_{10}\rangle\langle \beta_{10}|,
\]
\[
P_{pf} := |\beta_{00}\rangle\langle \beta_{00}| + |\beta_{01}\rangle\langle \beta_{01}|.
\]
(\( P_{bf}, 1 - P_{bf} \) and \( P_{pf}, 1 - P_{pf} \) are the measurements that Alice and Bob need to perform on their qubit pairs during the Bell test.)

(a) Consider the following two experiments on a two qubit system.

(i) The two qubits are (jointly) measured according to the measurement \( \{P_{\text{yes}} := P_{bf}, P_{\text{no}} := 1 - P_{bf}\} \). Then the qubits are destroyed.

(ii) The two qubits are individually measured in the computational basis \( \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \).

If the results are equal, output \( \text{yes} \), otherwise output \( \text{no} \). Then the qubits are destroyed.

Show that both experiments are equivalent. That is, show that for any two-qubit state \( \rho \in S(\mathbb{C}^4) \), we have that the probability for getting outcome \( \text{yes} \) is the same. (Usually, one would have to also show that the post-measurement state is the same. But since here the qubits are destroyed, this is trivially the case.)

\textbf{Hint:} Let \( P_{00}, P_{11} \) be the two projectors corresponding to both measuring 0 and both measuring 1, respectively, in the second experiment. Then the probability of \( \text{yes} \) in the second experiment is \( \text{tr} P_{00}\rho + \text{tr} P_{11}\rho = \text{tr}(P_{00} + P_{11})\rho \).

(b) Consider the following two experiments on a two qubit system.

(i) The two qubits are (jointly) measured according to the measurement \( \{P_{\text{yes}} := P_{pf}, P_{\text{no}} := 1 - P_{pf}\} \). Then the qubits are destroyed.
(ii) The two qubits are individually measured in the diagonal basis \{|+\rangle, |−\rangle\} with

\[|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |−\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle.\]

If the results are equal, output yes, otherwise output no. Then the qubits are destroyed.

Show that both experiments are equivalent.

Note that in both cases, experiment (ii) can be implemented even if the two qubits are in different locations and only classical communication is possible between these locations. This allows to replace the Bell test from the lecture by a procedure that can actually be implemented.