

Exercise (Random self-reducibility of CDH). Let \mathbb{G} be a finite group such that all elements $y \in \mathbb{G}$ can be expressed as powers of $g \in \mathbb{G}$. Then the Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem is following. Given $x = g^a$ and $y = g^b$, find a group element $z = g^{ab}$.

1. Show that Computational Diffie-Hellman problem is random self-reducible, i.e., for any algorithm \mathcal{B} that achieves advantage

$$\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B}) \doteq \Pr [x, y \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{G} : \mathcal{B}(x, y) = g^{\log_g x \log_g y}]$$

there exists an oracle algorithm $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}$ that for any input $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ outputs the correct answer with the probability $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B})$ and has roughly the same running time.

2. Given that the CDH problem is random self-reducible, show that the difficulty of CDH instances cannot vary a lot. Namely, let \mathcal{B} be a t -time algorithm that achieves maximal advantage $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B})$. What can we say about worst-case advantage

$$\min_{x, y \in \mathbb{G}} \Pr [\mathcal{A}(x, y) = g^{\log_g x \log_g y}]?$$

Can there be a large number of pairs (x, y) for which the CDH problem is easy?

3. Show how to amplify the success rate of \mathcal{B} by repetitions. Sketch the corresponding time-success profile $\varepsilon(t)$. What does this say about time-success profile of CDH problem in general?

Solution. RANDOM SELF-REDUCIBILITY. Given an original adversary \mathcal{B} against computational Diffie-Hellman problem we can construct the following algorithm:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) \begin{cases} a, b \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbb{G}|} \\ c \leftarrow \mathcal{B}(x \cdot g^a, y \cdot g^b) \\ \text{return } c \cdot x^{-b} \cdot y^{-a} \cdot g^{-ab} . \end{cases}$$

For the analysis, let $\alpha = \log_g x$ and $\beta = \log_g y$. Then by the definition, the tuple $x \cdot g^a, y \cdot g^b, c$ is a valid Diffie-Hellman tuple only if

$$c = g^{(\alpha+a)(\beta+b)} \iff c = g^{\alpha\beta} \cdot g^{\alpha b} \cdot g^{a\beta} \cdot g^{\beta a} .$$

From this we can conclude

$$c = g^{(\alpha+a)(\beta+b)} \iff g^{\alpha\beta} = c \cdot (g^\alpha)^{-b} \cdot (g^\beta)^a \cdot g^{ab} ,$$

which itself implies that the adversary $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}$ succeed if and only if \mathcal{B} produces a Diffie-Hellman tuple:

$$c = g^{(\alpha+a)(\beta+b)} \iff g^{\alpha\beta} = c \cdot x^{-b} \cdot y^{-a} \cdot g^{-ab} .$$

Hence, the advantage of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$\Pr [\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) = g^{\alpha\beta}] = \Pr [a, b \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbb{G}|} : \mathcal{B}(x \cdot g^a, y \cdot g^b) = g^{(\alpha+a) \cdot (\beta+b)}] .$$

Now it is easy to see that for any $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbb{G}|}$, the group elements $x \cdot g^a$ and $y \cdot g^b$ are independent and have uniform distribution. Hence, the adversary \mathcal{B} inside $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}$ gets correctly formed CDH challenges and we thus we can conclude

$$\Pr [a, b \xleftarrow{u} \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbb{G}|}; \mathcal{B}(x \cdot g^a, y \cdot g^b) = g^{(\alpha+a) \cdot (\beta+b)}] = \text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B}) .$$

If \mathcal{B} runs in t -time, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}$ runs in $(t + \delta)$ -time, where δ is a small time required to perform element sampling and multiplications.

UNIFORMITY. Because \mathcal{A} reduces each problem instance to a random one, $\Pr [\mathcal{A}(x, y) = g^{\log_g x \log_g y}]$ is equal to $\text{Adv}_{\mathbb{G}}^{\text{cdh}}(\mathcal{B})$ for each pair (x, y) . Therefore, the worst-case advantage of \mathcal{A} is the same as advantage of \mathcal{B} and if there are a lot of CDH instances, which are easy for \mathcal{B} , the performance of \mathcal{A} is good on any instance.

AMPLIFICATION EFFECTS. **To be added**