

Matrikkel	Rühm	Task 1a [0.75]	Task 1b [0.25]	Task 2 [2]	Task 3 [0.5]	Task 4 [0.5]	Task 5 [0.5]	Task 6 [1]	Task 7 [0.5]	Task 8 [0.5]	Task 9 [0.5]	Task 10 [0.5]	Task 11 [0.5]	Task 12 [1]	Task 13 [1]	SUM [10]	Feedback
A64129	1. rühm														0	0	No submission found
A72068	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
A84120	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
A95491	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B03315	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B04063	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,1	0,5	1	0	8,6	Superficial comparison SC vs BC.
B05120	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,5	Didn't made enough effort to find 4 bugs(found 3 instead).
B15676	1. rühm	0,25	0	2	0,5	0	0	1	0,5	0	0	0,1	0,5	0,5	0	5,35	Non-detailed flowgraph. No flowgraph for one method. No EclEmma screenshots. Superficial comparison SC vs BC. Still waiting for you on Tuesday at 14.15 room 202 :-)
B26193	1. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	No flowgraph for one method.
B26216	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B26220	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B26232	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35870	1. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	No flowgraph for one method.
B35885	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	8,5	Didn't made enough effort to find 4 bugs(found 3 instead). Was absent on lab
B35886	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,1	0,5	1	1	9,6	Superficial comparison SC vs BC.
B35889	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,25	0,25	1	0,5	0,25	0,25	0,1	0,5	1	1	8,6	Didn't unfold EclEmma report so I have no clue which methods you covered. Superficial comparison SC vs BC.
B35906	1. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,25	0,25	1	0,5	0,25	0,25	0,1	0,5	1	1	8,6	Didn't unfold EclEmma report so I have no clue which methods you covered. Superficial comparison SC vs BC.
B50121	1. rühm														0	0	No submission found

A72070	2. rühm	0	0	1,4	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,25	0,5	0,5	0,1	0,1	1	1	7,35	Did not find flowdiagram. For task 2 did provide 7 test cases instead of 10. Did not made enough effort to find 4 bugs. Superficial answers to questions 10 and 11
A92617	2. rühm	0	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0	0	1	7,5	Did not find flowdiagram.
A92677	2. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0	1	0,25	0,5	0	0,5	0,5	1	1	8,5	No flowgraph for any other method. No screenshots for BC. Only 3 bugs found (out of 4).
B02203	2. rühm														0	0	No submission found
B02833	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0	0	0	0	0	0,5	1	1	7	Did not do second part of lab
B15167	2. rühm														1	1	No submission found
B15327	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B15675	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B16066	2. rühm	0	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,25	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	8,75	No flowgraph provided. Found only 2 bugs (4 bugs was required)
B16147	2. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0	1	0,25	0,5	0	0,5	0,5	1	1	8,5	No flowgraph for any other method. No screenshots for BC. Only 3 bugs found (out of 4).
B17657	2. rühm	0,65	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,25	0	0	0,5	0,5	1	0	7,4	Flowgraph is correct, but it is quite hard to navigate without block captions. No flowgraph for any other method. Did not distinguish between SC and BC criteria in your test cases and coverage screenshots.
B25863	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0	0	0	0	0	0,5	1	1	7	Did not do second part of lab
B26213	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0	0,5	1	0	8,5	No answer to question 10. Was absent on the lab. It was nice to see usage of different tool.
B33047	2. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	No flowgraph for any other method.
B35854	2. rühm														0	0	No submission found
B35878	2. rühm														0	0	No submission found
B35893	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35900	2. rühm														1	1	No submission found
B35902	2. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	

B35937	2. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	No flowgraph for any other method.
A92657	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Great and well structured lab report and flowgraphs. In addition nicely explained points 10 and 11.
B02194	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Very nice report and flowgraphs. Actually just the link to drawn flowgraph would have done the work nicely. In point 9 you put Branch coverage screenshot. I believe it was by mistake and do not deduct points as rest of work was really good.
B02299	3. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Did not present lab nor homework
B02475	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	I really liked your report and flowgraph. Color distinguish in true, false paths and also in defect repots made these very easy to read.
B23514	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report, flowgraphs and answers to questions. Everything were present and
B23565	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Great and well structured lab report and flowgraphs. In addition nicely explained points 10 and 11.
B35856	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report and flowgraphs, everything were present and correct. Nothing else really to add.
B35857	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	I really liked your report and flowgraphs. Color distinguish in true, false paths and also in defect repots made these very easy to read.
B35864	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report. There was some weird blank pages in your report. Flograph could have been a little more well structured. But generally again, nice work.
B35869	3. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,75	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,5	Flowgraph of one other method chosen except run was missing and there was three defects instead of four. Other than that everything was good, tour explanations were very nicely done.
B35883	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,75	0	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	8,25	Absent from lab session. Points deducted as defect list was missing from report. Other than that you had nice flowgraph and neat report.

B35888	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report. Flowraph is little messy but everything is present. White as well as black themed screenshots were protean :) It was ok to for the main() method to have 0 coverage.
B35898	3. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,75	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,5	Flowgraph of one other method chosen except run was missing and there was three defects instead of four. Other than that everything was good, your explanations were very nicely done.
B35899	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report. There was some weird blank pages in your report. Flowgraph could have been a little more well structured. But generally again, nice work.
B35901	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report. Flowraph is little messy but everything is present. White as well as black themed screenshots were protean :) It was ok to for the main() method to have 0 coverage.
B35913	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,75	0	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,25	Absent from lab session. Points deducted as defect list was missing from report. Other than that you had nice flowgraph and neat report. Flowgraphs were missing. One screenshot was doubled. Total instructions were not covered. Initial branch coverage screenshot was missing so it was not possible to estimate if it was increased or not. Questions 10-12 was not answered. Generally pretty messy report.
B35921	3. rühm	0	0	2	0,5	0,25	0	1	0,5	0	0,5	0	0	0	1	5,75	very nice report and flowgraphs. Actually just the link to drawn flowgraph would have done the work nicely. In point 9 you put Branch coverage screenshot. I believe it was by mistake and do not deduct points as rest of work was really good.
B35923	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report and flowgraphs, everything were present and correct. Nothing else really to add.
B35926	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Did not present lab work. First one to submit assignment from your group :) Good report, everything were present and well explained.
B50059	3. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	9	

A92658	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report and neat flowgraph. All was well structured and explained. Not much more to add really.
B01318	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	9	Not present in lab. Other than that really nice work. I especially liked your flowgraph as it was very well structured and easy to follow.
B02166	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Really nice report. Flowgraphs were well structured and you even had more than required. Nothing to complain about actually everything was nicely done.
B02195	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	flowgraph was little messy but I believe you run out of time just to structure it more nicely manner. Other than that report was well done.
B04876	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report. Great to see that you created lots of tests. Explanations to given question were good as well and after zooming flowgraph was ok to read too.
B04892	4. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not present in lab. Did not submit homework.
B04970	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0	1	0,5	0	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9	Statement coverage screenshots are missing. Other than that you had neat work and nothing to complain about.
B05045	4. rühm	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not present in lab. Did not submit homework.
B11269	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good work. Well structured and easy to follow flowgraph. Coverage screenshots could have been extended more to see every method coverage as well. Great constructive feedback. Generally your report is pretty sloppy.
B12156	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,25	0,5	0,5	0	0	0	0	0,5	0,25	0,75	1	6,75	Flowgraph is ok. Defects table are kind of half done and two defects are missing as four were required. Also increasing coverage part is totally undone. Feedback could have been little longer. To say so conveniently that white-box is better are kind of wrong also.
B15681	4. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	Did not submit homework
B26214	4. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not present in lab. Did not submit homework.
B26219	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice and clean report. Easy to follow flowgraph as well. Through answers to all questions and constructive feedback.

B26244	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report. Great to see that you created lots of tests. Explanations to given question were good as well and after zooming flowgraph was ok to read too.
B26596	4. rühm														0	0	Not present in lab
B35861	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Really nice report. Flowgraphs were well structured and you even had more than required. Nothing to complain about actually everything was nicely done.
B35867	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Flowgraph was little messy but in overall readable. Nice that you did more than expected as usual. Again really good work and nothing to complain about.
B35881	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Nice report and neat flowgraph. All was well structured and explained. Not much more to add really.
B35890	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,25	0,5	0,5	0	0	0	0	0,5	0,25	0,75	1	6,75	Generally your report is pretty sloppy. Flowgraph is ok. Defects table are kind of half done and two defects are missing as four were required. Also increasing coverage part is totally undone. Feedback could have been little longer. To say so conveniently that white-box is better are kind of wrong also.
B35895	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	flowgraph was little messy but I believe you run out of time just to structure it more nicely manner. Other than that report was well done.
B35912	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good work. Well structured and easy to follow flowgraph. Coverage screenshots could have been extended more to see every method coverage as well. Great constructive feedback.
B35922	4. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Flowgraph was little messy but in overall readable. Nice that you did more than expected as usual. Again really good work and nothing to complain about.

94847	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,25	0,5	1	1	9,75	For answer 10, you wrote "Statement coverage helps if you want minimal amount of test cases". Not clear what you mean by minimal amount of test cases.
B06358	5. rühm	0,5	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	In flowgraphs, decisions are typically represented by diamond sign. You have not followed this convention for some decisions. Otherwise, report is well written.
B11289	5. rühm	0,25	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	8,5	Flowgraph drawing conventions were not followed. For example, you did not use diamond box for representing decisions. You were absent in lab.
B11299	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Why didn't you write your name in your report?
B16139	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B23513	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B26272	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B32047	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B32088	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B33549	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35591	5. rühm	0,5	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	Flowgraph drawing conventions were not followed. For example, you did not use diamond box for representing decisions. You were absent in lab.
B35853	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0	0	0	0,25	1	1	8,25	You did not answer 8, 9, and 10. For question 11, you have written "Black box testing is useful if the tester knows the programming language." Its not correct, because for BB testing, you don't need to view the code.
B35858	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	

B35860	5. rühm	0,5	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	In flowgraphs, decisions are typically represented by diamond sign. You have not followed this convention for some decisions. Otherwise, report is well written.
B35865	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0	0	0	0,25	1	1	8,25	You did not answer 8, 9, and 10. For question 11, you have written "Black box testing is useful if the tester knows the programming language." Its not correct, because for BB testing, you don't need to view the code.
B35871	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35872	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35904	5. rühm	0,5	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	Flowgraph drawing conventions were not followed. For example, you did not use diamond box for representing decisions. You were absent in lab.
B35911	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35932	5. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
A91963	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
A92663	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B02263	6. rühm															0	Not submitted. Absent in lab.
B02294	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B02855	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B03309	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B04877	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B12168	6. rühm															0	Not submitted. Absent in lab.
B12620	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	0	0	0,5	0,5	1	1	8,5	You did not answer 7, 8, and 9.
B15114	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B22121	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B24836	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B26200	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35800	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	

B35896	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	0	0	0,5	0,5	1	0	7,5	Absent in Lab. You did not answer 7, 8, and 9.
B35914	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35929	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
B35938	6. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	
99930	7. rühm	0,5	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9	Flowgraph is not clear, and missing 2nd flow graph. Everything else is fine.
A01171	7. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,25	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	You have provided a good report except that your defects report is not complete, please also mention the passed tests in defects
A51708	7. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	10	Good report. I like that you did flow-graphs for all the methods. Keep up the this way!
A73881	7. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Submission not received
A93846	7. rühm	0,75	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	Missing 2nd flowgraph, Your report is clear and good.
A94436	7. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Submission not received
B15641	7. rühm	0,5	0	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9	Flowgraph is not clear, and missing 2nd flow graph. Everything else is fine.
B17317	7. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	0	9	Good report. I like that you did flow-graphs for all the methods. Absent during lab, please try to communicate about your absence prior to lab if you are having any conflicts with lab.
B26202	7. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Submission not received
B46611	7. rühm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Submission not received
B50178	7. rühm	0,75	0,25	2	0,25	0,5	0,5	1	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	0,5	1	1	9,75	You have provided a good report except that your defects report is not complete, please also mention the passed tests in defects