

Sheet1

Matrikkel	lab5	In-lab demons	Report	Comment
98117	6,4	10	70	No analysis provided for bugs #1, #4, #7; superficial analysis of "Possible Null pointer deref"
A00793	6,4	10	70	No analysis provided for bugs #1, #4, #7; superficial analysis of "Possible Null pointer deref"
A01118	0			
A20413	7,6	0	95	Excellent! Deep analysis. Unfortunately were absent on lab session
A21624	0			
A40946	8	10	95	Good report, everything is on place
A52925	5,2	0	65	Superficial analysis in all cases
A62054	7,6	10	85	Good report, but one bug analysis is missing, it should be 8 analysis in total
A71821	4,4	10	45	Shallow bug analysis (e.g. bug #2, #3, #5); no need to copy "Bug Info" to the report; only 6 bugs analysed;
A72027	6	10	65	Bug #1, #3 -no analysis provided; #5,#7 didn't provide code example
A72044	6,4	10	70	Bug #2:didn't provide an example of variable usage; bug #3: no analysis provided, why it can cause a fault? Bug #8: how can I see that statement is infeasible? Need an example
A72080	0			
A73516	5,6	10	60	Only 5 bugs analysed; Analysis of bug #b and #d is superficial
A73560	6	10	65	Superficial analysis in all cases
A73883	5,6	10	60	Very good analysis, but why only 4 bugs analysed?
A81902	8	10	95	Good analysis! Thanks for feedback
A81919	0			
A81942	8	10	95	Well done! Good analysis!
A82956	7,6	10	85	Good report, but one bug analysis is missing, it should be 8 analysis in total
A92243	8	10	95	Excellent! Deep analysis. Great work done
A92310	7,6	0	95	Well done! Good analysis!
A92325	8	10	95	Nice analysis! Set of possible bugs is unique!
A92420	4	10	40	No bug analysis. I can accept only analysis of bug #1
A92775	8	10	95	Excellent! Greate analysis of Possible Nul Pointer Deref!
A93273	8	10	95	Well done! You can analyse 4+1 bugs, but you made more; adding possibility for individual lab completion could dramatically increase the number of reports, it could be critical for courses with 100 students, anyway, thank you for feedback
A93830	3,2	10	30	No need to copy «Bug Info» into report; Shallow bug analysis, for example in bug #5.5 how your explanation refer to rounding issue? In bug #10.7 in line 7 of your code example we reassign variable "line" to non null value, will it still cause a fault? Overall I can count as a bug analysis only bug #5.5, #3.1, #2.1, #13.1; No static code analysis vs Manual Code Inspection compariison provided

Sheet1

A93847	4	0	50	Bug #3: no analysis provided; No need to copy "Bug Info" to report; No comparison between static code analysis and manual code inspection provided;
A94096	6,4	10	70	Bug #2:didn't provide an example of variable usage; bug #3: no analysis provided, why it can cause a fault? Bug #8: how can I see that statement is infeasible? Need an example
A94168	8	10	95	Excellent! Greate analysis of Possible Nul Pointer Deref!
A95496	3,6	10	35	Didn't provide any bug analysis, except for "Possible Null Pointer Deref"
A95503	0			
A95505	8	10	95	Well done!
A96171	8	10	95	Well done!!
B00866	7,6	10	85	from report I didn't understand why you consider possible bug #4 as an intended implementation, from your discussion it looks like actual bug
B02130	7,6	10	85	Quite minimalistic analysis, it is ok for first 7 bugs but for «Possible Null Pointer Deref» I expect more detailed discussion with examples and fixing proposal
B02131	8	10	95	Excellent! Deep analysis. Great work done
B03306	0			
B03336	7,6	10	85	let me decrease yor score because of the possible bug #6, if you can't analyze it, why don't you choose another one?
B04856	3,2	10	30	No need to copy «Bug Info» into report; Shallow bug analysis, for example in bug #5.5 how your explanation refer to rounding issue? In bug #10.7 in line 7 of your code example we reassign variable "line" to non null value, will it still cause a fault? Overall I can count as a bug analysis only bug #5.5, #3.1, #2.1, #13.1; No static code analysis vs Manual Code Inspection compariison provided
B04866	8	10	95	Nice and short analysis
B04867	8	10	95	Nice analysis! Set of possible bugs is unique!
B04868	8	10	95	Well done!
B04872	4,8	10	50	Bug #3: no analysis provided; No need to copy "Bug Info" to report; No comparison between static code analysis and manual code inspection provided;
B04876	6	10	65	No sufficient analysis provided for first 7 bugs, it would be better to provide code examples to justify your decisions; After reading comments for bugs #2,4,6 I would like to ask "Why?"
B04879	5,6	10	60	No analysis provided, but it is good that you provide ways to fix some bugs
B04882	8	10	95	Well done!
B04883	0			
B04884	8	10	95	Nice and short analysis
B04886	8	10	95	Well done! It is good that you propose some ways to fix actual bugs
B04894	8	10	95	Well done!
B04897	5,6	10	60	No analysis provided, but it is good that you provide ways to fix some bugs

Sheet1

B04953	7,6	10	85	Quite minimalistic analysis, it is ok for first 7 bugs but for «Possible Null Pointer Deref» I expect more detailed discussion with examples and fixing proposal
B04959	8	10	95	Good report, everything is on place
B04963	8	10	95	Well done!
B04964	8	10	95	Well done!
B04965	7,6	10	85	Some bugs has shallow analysis (e.g. #2, #5); It would be nice to include code examples
B04966	7,6	10	85	Some bugs has shallow analysis (e.g. #2, #5); It would be nice to include code examples
B04970	0			
B04971	3,6	10	35	Didn't provide any bug analysis, except for "Possible Null Pointer Deref"
B04973	8	10	95	Well done! Everything is in place
B04977	7,6	10	85	let me decrease yor score because of the possible bug #6, if you can't analyze it, why don't you choose another one?
B04994	4,4	10	45	Shallow bug analysis (e.g. bug #2, #3, #5); no need to copy "Bug Info" to the report; only 6 bugs analysed;
B05126	8	10	95	Well done!!
B06462	0			
B06657	8	10	90	Well done! Good and compact analysis
B11208	8	10	95	Well done!!
B11857	4	10	40	No bug analysis. I can accept only analysis of bug #1
B14246	0			
B15634	8	10	95	Well done! Good analysis! In this particular lab no right or wrong answers
B15635	3,2	10	30	very brief analysis; For the bug #4, 6, 8 I would ask "Why?"; for bug #7 which value here is non-serializable? No consistent comparison of Manual code review and Static code analysis
B15636	3,2	10	30	very brief analysis; For the bug #4, 6, 8 I would ask "Why?"; for bug #7 which value here is non-serializable? No consistent comparison of Manual code review and Static code analysis
B15637	4,4	10	45	no sufficient bug analysis presented
B15638	6,4	10	70	Analysis of first 7 bugs is superficial, meanwhile the rest of the report done very well!
B15639	8	10	95	Well done! Everything is in place
B15640	7,6	10	85	from report I didn't understand why you consider possible bug #4 as an intended implementation, from your discussion it looks like actual bug
B15642	4,4	10	45	no sufficient bug analysis presented
B15643	6,4	10	70	Analysis of first 7 bugs is superficial, meanwhile the rest of the report done very well!
B15644	8	10	95	Well done! It is good that you propose some ways to fix actual bugs
B15646	0			
B15648	6,4	10	70	not very deep analysis, but nice try
B15651	8	10	95	Well done!!

Sheet1

B15655	8	10	95	Short and precise analysis! Good work
B15656	8	10	95	Well done! Good analysis! In this particular lab no right or wrong answers
B15657	6,8	10	75	Issues #2 , #4, #5, has no analysis
B15663	6	10	65	No sufficient analysis provided for first 7 bugs, it would be better to provide code examples to justify your decisions; After reading comments for bugs #2,4,6 I would like to ask "Why?"
B15691	8	10	95	Well done!!
B16032	6,8	10	75	Issues #2 , #4, #5, has no analysis
B16067	6,4	10	70	not very deep analysis, but nice try
B16145	8	10	95	Short and precise analysis! Good work
B31742	5,6	10	60	Very good analysis, but why only 4 bugs analysed?
B31743	5,6	10	60	Only 5 bugs analysed; Analysis of bug #b and #d is superficial
B31744	6	10	65	It is not an analysis to just say «we don't know if it is a bug or not», so I can't count bugs #5, #6, #7; no analysis for "null pointer deref"
B31745	6	10	65	It is not an analysis to just say «we don't know if it is a bug or not», so I can't count bugs #5, #6, #7; no analysis for "null pointer deref"
B31746	6	10	65	Bug #1, #3 -no analysis provided; #5,#7 didn't provide code example
B31747	3,2	10	30	Shallow analysis provided; Comparison of benefits of manual code inspection is copy-paste from http://stackoverflow.com/questions/3129445/what-can-you-catch-in-a-manual-code-review-that-static-code-analysis-tools-canno
B31748	8	10	95	Good analysis! Thanks for feedback
B31749	3,2	10	30	Shallow analysis provided; Comparison of benefits of manual code inspection is copy-paste from http://stackoverflow.com/questions/3129445/what-can-you-catch-in-a-manual-code-review-that-static-code-analysis-tools-canno
B31750	0			