MTAT.03.227 Machine Learning (Spring 2015) Exercise session V: Optimization basics

Konstantin Tretyakov

March 10, 2015

The aim of this exercise session is to get acquainted with the basics of optimization methods. In particular, we are going to explore the following topics:

- Analytic optimization of a quadratic function.
- Gradient descent for one- and two-dimensional functions.
- Newton's method.
- Stochastic descent.
- Non-differentiable functions.
- Constrained gradient descent.

For that we shall go through 30 small exercises, each worth 0.5 points and presumably doable in under 5-10 minutes even by the slowest of us. For each exercise you typically need to write up a short piece of code (usually about 1-2 lines), and 1-2 sentences of your opinion about what you did and saw. You can submit your whole solution as a single R file with comments, provided it is formatted to be sequentially readable. Exercises marked with a * are suggested as bonus tasks. As usual, the nominal point count is 10, but I'm sure doing all 15 points would not hurt.

We shall use the following toy example in our exercises (which is, as you might figure out, a trivialized version of a clustering problem).

Description of the problem The village of Optimia consists of a single kilometer-long straight road with five houses built along it. The road has distance markings. The first house is built at marking 0, the second – at marking 0.2, the third – 0.4, fourth – 0.9 and fifth – 1.0.



The villagers wish to embrace the marvels of the Internet and want to build a data center somewhere along their central road. The question they face is – at

what position along the road should they build it in order to optimize cabling costs.

The data center will be connected with a separate cable to each of the five houses. The price of each cable piece is somehow dependent on the distance between the house and the center. That is, if the data center is built at position w along the road, the cabling company will charge the villagers a total of

 $\ell(|w-0.0|) + \ell(|w-0.2|) + \ell(|w-0.4|) + \ell(|w-0.9|) + \ell(|w-1.0|),$

where $\ell(d)$ is the price of a cable of length d (this will vary between the exercises).

Exercise 1 (0.5pt). Suppose the price of each cable segment is $\ell(d) = d^2$. Fermat's rule Find the optimum position for the data center analytically.

Hint: This was done on the lecture.

Exercise 2 (0.5pt). Define an R function f which takes position of the data Objective funccentre w as input and produces the resulting total cost of the cable. Plot the tion function using the following code:

ws = seq(0,1,0.01)
plot(ws, Vectorize(f)(ws))

Exercise 3 (0.5pt). Define an R function df which takes w as input and *Gradient* outputs $\nabla f(w)$. Plot it. What is the important y-value to look for on that plot?

Exercise 4 (0.5pt). Implement the function $gradient_descent(f, df, x0, Gradient u, nsteps), which takes in the function to be optimized f, the gradient of the function df, the initial guess x0, the step size u, the number of steps nsteps, and returns the result of running the basic gradient descent optimization algorithm. Run the function using f and df defined above, with x0 = 0, u=0.01, nsteps=100. Does it produce the correct answer?$

Hint: $\Delta x_i = -\mu \nabla f(x_i)$, remember?

Hint: The whole body of the function should not be longer than 5 lines. In fact, just 3 suffices.

```
Exercise 5 (0.5pt). Study the following code:
gd_by_step_size = Vectorize(function(step) {
        gradient_descent(f, df, 0, step, 20)
     })
step_sizes = seq(0,0.3,0.01)
```

output = gd_by_step_size(step_sizes)

Try to guess, without running it, what will be in the output array. Verify your guess. If you guessed correctly, explain how you did it. If you did not, understand what is happening and explain.

```
Step size
```

would output, along with the correct answer, the whole trajectory of the soluproperties tion. In particular, make the last lines of your function the following: . . . result = list(xi, traj) names(result) = c("ans", "traj") result } Now do plot(gradient_descent(f, df, 0, step_size, 20)\$traj) for step_size equal to 0.01, 0.08, 0.1, 0.15, 0.19, 0.20, 0.21. What different types of behaviour do you observe? **Exercise 8-9 (1.0pt).** Define a function ddf which outputs $\nabla^2 f$. Then, Newton's algodefine the function newton_descent(f, df, ddf, x0, nsteps) which implerithm ments the Newton's algorithm. Make sure it outputs the trajectory in the same way as gradient_descent does. Study the trajectories for various starting points. What do you observe? Why is it happening? Compare the value of $\nabla^2 f(w)^{-1}$ with the step sizes you studied in the previous exercise. Hint: $\Delta x_i = -H^{-1}c$, remember? This means $\Delta x = -\frac{\nabla f(x)}{\nabla^2 f(x)}$. **Exercise 10 (0.5pt).** Next we want to implement a *stochastic* version of gra-Stochastic dedient descent. Which function (f, df, gradient_descent) needs modification scent to achieve it (only one does)? Modify the necessary function appropriately and study the trajectories of the stochastic gradient descent algorithm for various step sizes (as in Exercise 6-7). What differences do you see? Could we also use a stochastic Newton's descent, what do you think? Hint: You'll need to use R's sample function. Hint: $\Delta x_i = -\mu \nabla f_j(x_i).$ **Exercise 11 (0.5pt).** Now the cable company proposes new cabling prices. Handling non-Namely, $\ell(d) = |d|$. Define f, df and ddf appropriately and plot them (figure differentiable out a natural way to handle non-differentiable points). points **Exercise 12* (0.5pt).** The optimal w for the previous cable prices (the $\ell(d) =$ Nice-to-know d^2 case) was the mean. What is the optimal w for the new scheme? Study the plot of df to guess an answer, then prove the general result. **Exercise 13 (0.5pt).** Repeat Exercise 5 for the new function. Do you see Nonconceptual differences from the previous case? Explain their causes. You should differentiability see that non-differentiability of f at just a couple of points, although it can be issues handled in an ad-hoc manner, may cause convergence problems for gradient descent. It also makes it hard to devise a reliable convergence criterion.

Exercise 6-7 (1.0pt). Modify the function gradient_descent so that it

Convergence

Also, what about using the Newton's algorithm here?

Exercise 14 (0.5pt). Implement stochastic gradient descent for the new objective function. Contemplate the conceptual simplicity of the resulting algorithm and feel happy about it.

Exercise 15-16 (1.0pt). Later on, the inhabitants of Optimia decided that they could have two data centers instead of one. Each house would only need to set up a single cable link to the closer of the two data centers. The price of the cabling is $\ell(d) = |d|$, thus the overall cabling price would be:

$$f(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \min(|w_1 - h_i|, |w_2 - h_i|),$$

and the new task is to find the optimal locations for two data centers w_1 and w_2 .

Implement the new objective function f(w) where w = c(w1, w2) will be treated as a vector. Plot f using the following code:

```
x = seq(0,1,by=0.05)
y = seq(0,1,by=0.05)
fvals = outer(x, y, Vectorize(function(w1,w2){ f(c(w1,w2)) }))
contour(x,y,fvals)
image(x,y,fvals)
Hint: mapply(min, dists_to_1, dists_to_2)
```

Exercise 17-19 (1.5pt). Implement the gradient df for the above mentioned Multidimensional function. Note that the gradient must return a vector of two elements. Handle gradient non-differentiable points naturally.

Exercise 20-21* (1.0pt). A multidimensional gradient is a *vector field* and *Va* cannot be visualized using contour or image plots. Find a way to visualize the *m* gradient as shown below: *sid*

Visualizing multidimensional gradient



Hint: You'll need arrow.plot from the fields package.

Multidimensional optimization

Exercise 22 (0.5pt). Make sure your gradient descent implementation works Multidimensional with the new multidimensional functions. If you did Exercise 4 correctly, chances qradient are your algorithm works with the new multidimensional functions without any scentmodification. The only place which might require changes is the trajectory compilation. If before you used something like traj = c(traj, xi) then simply rewrite it to traj = rbind(traj, xi).

Test your algorithm by running

```
result = gradient_descent(f, df, runif(2), 0.01, 100)
contour(x, y, fvals) # From Ex. 15-16
points(result$traj[,1], result$traj[,2], col='red')
```

Exercise 23 (0.5pt). Implement a stochastic version of 2-dimensional gradi-Multidimensional ent descent. Run it and plot the results as in previous exercise. stochastic gra-

> dient descent Library func-

tions

Exercise 24 (0.5pt). In practice you would rarely need to implement your own optimization algorithm. Use the R's built-in optim function to find a solution to your current optimization problem. Show the most basic way of invoking this function.

Exercise 25* (0.5pt). The villagers just found out that their two data centers Constrained may not be located further away from each other than 0.5km. I.e. the locations optimization of the two data centers must satisfy

$$|w_1 - w_2| \le 0.5$$

Do(es) the current solution(s) satisfy this requirement? Which points on the contour plot satisfy it? Is it a convex set of points?

Exercise 26-28* (1.5pt). Let us implement constrained stochastic gradient descent to help the villagers. Note that as our objective is not convex, this gradient approach may not always work, but in this case it actually will. You need to scent change your gradient descent implementation by adding a constraint check and a projection step, i.e, you'll have something like:

```
for (i in 1:nsteps) {
  xi = xi - u*df(xi);
  if (xi does not satisfy constraints) xi = project(xi)
  traj = rbind(traj, xi)
}
```

The non-obvious part is the orthogonal projection onto the set $\{(w_1, w_2) :$ $|w_1 - w_2| \le 0.5$.

de-

Constrained de**Exercise 29-30* (1.0pt)** Finally, it might be interesting to implement the multidimensional Newton's algorithm. As you should know by now, it will not work with the $\ell(d) = |d|$ case, and it will work somewhat trivially for $\ell(d) = d^2$ case. The next simplest choice is $\ell(d) = |d|^3$. Implement the corresponding functions f, df, ddf (which will produce a matrix this time!), fix up your newton_descent appropriately and see how it works.

Multidimensional Newton's descent