Pattern Analysis. Lab 3.

Konstantin Tretyakov

November 9, 2006

Hypothesis Testing

Is your data "special" or not? Does it originate from system A or B? Is your assumption true or false? — these are all examples of *hypothesis testing*, which is a common issue in data analysis.

In all these cases we start by presenting two mutually exclusive statements, denoted H_0 and H_1 . Statement H_0 is called the *null hypothesis* and describes the distribution of data if it were "uninteresting" (i.e. our assumption about it were not true). Statement H_1 is known as *alternative hypothesis* and describes the property of interest. After fixing the two hypotheses we design a *statistic* T(X), that should, in some sense, correspond to H_1 . At last, we examine the value $T(\mathbf{x})$ on the data item \mathbf{x} and calculate the probability of getting this value equal to or "worse" than $T(\mathbf{x})$ if \mathbf{x} were distributed according to H_0 . This probability is known as *p*-value and it's quite often calculated as:

$$p = \mathbf{P}(T(\underline{X}) \ge T(\mathbf{x})).$$

If p is lower than some predefined confidence threshold (that is, it's too improbable to see such $T(\mathbf{x})$ in "usual" data), we reject H_0 in favor of H_1 . Otherwise, we state that we can't reject H_0 . In this case we don't reject H_1 , though, because the fact of p-value not being low enough is mostly not significant enough to disprove H_1 .

Exercise 1: Does a low p-value *prove* hypothesis H_1 ?

Exercise 2: We shall now practice hypothesis testing on a small pseudobioinformatical example. The file dna1.txt contains a string of characters A, C, T, G, representing a DNA sequence. We suppose that this might originate from a certain gene region, that is known to contain many occurences of the substring GCACC. We'd like to test this hypothesis. Formulate H_0 and H_1 . Specify T(X). Evaluate $T(\mathbf{x})$ on given data. How probable would it be to obtain such $T(\mathbf{x})$ if H_0 were true? Hints:

- Loading the string from the file:
 s = mgetl("dna1.txt");
- Finding all occurences of GCACC in s:
 n = strindex(s, "GCACC");

You must have noted that it is complicated to derive an analytical expression for the p-value in the previous exercise. So we'll have to estimate it analytically, using a *randomization test*. The idea is simple: we generate random samples from the distribution H_0 , evaluate the statistic on these, and examine the distribution of obtained values.

Exercise 3: Generate 100 uniformly random strings of length 1000. For each of them evaluate T(X), (i.e. count the number of occurrences of GCACC). Plot the histogram of obtained values. Estimate the p-value of the previous exercise.

Hints:

- Generating a random string:
 i = grand(1, 1000, 'uin', 1, 4);
 s = part('ATCG', i);
- Appending a value n to a vector v:
 v(\$+1) = n;
- Counting how many values in the vector v exceed n: count = length(find(v > n));
- Plotting a histogram of values in v (nicer than histplot):
 t = tabul(v);
 bar(t(:,1), t(:,2));

Exercise 4: Now we've also got this second piece of DNA (dna2.txt). We think it might come from some other interesting gene region, containing some repeating substring of length 5, but we don't know what substring exactly should be repeating. Formulate H_0 and H_1 , specify T(X). Of course, T(X) will count the number of occurences of the most frequently repeating substring of length 5. Check it on the data. Is it significant? Hints:

 Selecting a substring of s of length 5 at position i: subs = part(s, i:(i+4));

- Counting how many times each item is present in vector v:
 t = tabul(v);
- Finding the index w and value m of the maximal entry of t(2):
 [m, w] = max(t(2));

Exercise 5: How to determine whether our finding in the previous exercise is significant? It's even more difficult to present an analytical expression for the probability to have the most frequent string repeat itself n times, so we'll have to refrain to randomization testing again. Generate 100 random strings, and evaluate T(X) for each of them. Examine the distribution and evaluate the p-value of interest. Make conclusions about the significance of your finding in Exercise 4. Compare it to the first example in this section. Explain the differences.